

Star Energy Partnership in Natural Gas STAR Program

Methane Expo 2013 - Vancouver

Why Methane ?





Every greenhouse gas has a global warming potential (GWP)—the measure of its ability to trap heat in the atmosphere relative to CO_2 . Methane is referred to as a potent greenhouse gas because it has

a GWP of 21. This means that methane is 21 times more powerful than CO_2 at trapping heat in the atmosphere over a 100-year period.

Why is Reducing Methane Emissions Important?

Oil and natural gas operations are the largest humanmade source of methane emissions in the United States and the second largest human-made source of methane emissions globally. Given methane's role as both

> a potent greenhouse gas and clean energy source, reducing these emissions can have significant environmental and economic benefits.

President RI's Executive Order To Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emission at 26% before 2020

Decrees of Minister of ESDM / Director General of Oil & Gas to secure Flaring Reduction by 30% per annum to achieve ZERO in 2025

Overall national commitment

Reduce GHG emissions by 26% through domestic effort and 41% with international support by 2020 (from BAU baseline)

Gas flaring objective

To achieve zero flared gas in 2025

Process Philosophy – Methane Source





Partnership





Star Energy's Involvement



- Measurement Study Objectives Formulation (end of 2011)
 - Identified and evaluated opportunities to reduce methane emissions
 - Venting
 - Fugitive
 - Flare efficiency
- Measurement Study was Conducted
 - KRA & KF (February 2012)
 - KN FSO (September 2012)
- Attended GMI workshop & study tour at Denver, Colorado (April 2012)
- Co-Hosted 2nd Asia Pacific GMI workshop with Pertamina EP, SPE, and GGFR (September 2012)





September 18, 2012 Presented by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pertamina EP, Star Energy, Society of Petroleum Engineers Java Indonesia, Global Gas Flaring Reduction Partnership and BPMIGAS

> Pertamina EP Offices Jakarta Standard Chartered Tower – 3th Floor Jl. Prof.Dr.Satrio 164 – Casablanca District Jakarta, 12950 - Indonesia

Measurement Study Result



KF Platform Emission Source

KRA Platform Emission Source

General Fugitives	5 %
Reciprocating Comp. Packing	< 1 %
Centrifugal Comp. Seal	2 %
Flare	93 %

184 ton / year

General Fugitives	5 %
Centrifugal Comp. Seal	2 %
Flare	93 %

143 ton / year

Indonesia's Oil & Gas Contribution to Global Warming



Flaring and Venting in Indonesia

- 2009 estimates: 270-350 mmcfd (2.8-3.6 bcm) is flared, i.e. ~ 10% of annual Indonesian consumption (36 bcm in 2009)
- Indonesia rank # 7-10
- Equivalent to ~10 mln tonnes of CO2 eq.
- Equal or greater amount of CO₂ directly vented during gas processing
- Combined CO₂ emissions would place Indonesia #5
- CH4 vented volumes are unknown
- Black Carbon emitted during flaring increases the warming effect of flaring
- \$500 mln in lost revenues including lost government share



Indonesia venting and flaring adds 17 million tonnes CO₂ to atmosphere every year and wastes million dollars of energy resources





Proposed Improvement (1)

ID Rp 33 5 ID Rp 90 8	Net First Year Cost	NPV	IRR (%)	Payback Period (Years)
billionbillion(US \$3.7 million)(US \$10.0 million)100%	ID Rp 33.5 billion (US \$3.7 million)	ID Rp 90.8 billion (US \$10.0 million)	100%	1.0

Proposed Improvement:

Joule-Thomson Skid

Resources Required:

- 1. Accurate gas to flare measurement
- 2. Detail engineering complete with economic analysis
- 3. Compressor with high compression ratio



Resources Available:

1. Support from US EPA for engineering study

Proposed Improvement (2)



- Average gas to flare KF (2011):
 23 MMSCF / month
- Potential Revenue Loss:
 USD 530K / month
- Gas to Flare Composition: Average Methane 58 %

Proposed Improvement:

Flare Gas Recovery

KF PLATFORM - Hydrocarbon Processing Diagram



Resources Required:

- 1. Accurate gas to flare measurement
- 2. Detail engineering complete with economic analysis
- 3. Compressor with high compression ratio

Resources Available:

1. Support from US EPA for engineering study

Emission Reduction Practices



Engine Starting System Retrofit

- •Retrofit from natural gas starting system to air starting system – Successful Trial
- 3-5 cranking before engine running
- •Benefits:
 - Reduce emission
 - Reduce interruption on gas export
 - Reduce water coning risk





Publication





Print this Page for Your Records

Close Window

Control/Tracking Number: 13APOG-P-1041-SPE Activity: Proposal Current Date/Time: 1/21/2013 8:25:34 PM

First Steps in Global Methane Initiative Offshore Natuna Sea, Indonesia

Author Block: S. Ramadhana, M. Amar, Star Energy (kakap) Ltd

Abstract:

Methane (CH₄) is the major component of natural gas. However, it's also the second most important manmade greenhouse gas (GHG) after carbon dioxide (CO₂). While methane is in the atmosphere for a shorter period of time and is emitted in smaller quantities than CO₂, its ability to trap heat in the atmosphere, which is called its "global warming potential," is 21 times greater than that of CO₂.

Star Energy is the first oil & gas company in Indonesia which supports the MIGAS Directorate General & Ministry of Environment's Global Methane Initiative (GMI) program under the auspices of US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Star Energy and US EPA team had already conducted a series of emission measurements on Kakap offshore field production facilities (KF & KRA platforms) in February-March 2012 and on KN FSO in September 2012.

The measurement shows that KF platform's emission reaches approximately 257,000 m3 / year whilst KRA Platform emits approximately 200,000 m3 / year and most of them are coming from flaring activities. The measurement results for KN FSO are still being assessed out with recent project changes.

Efforts on emission control have been focused on flare reduction on KF platform. The joint government-company Team is proposing to install JT process in KF to reduce flare emission. JT process' objective is simply to recover the methane gas to flare, compress it, flow it through JT valves, re-export the methane gas and recover the condensate. However, several technical challenges exist for implementing this pilot project, such as finding the right compressor to compress methane gas from near atmospheric pressure to gas system pressure of around 300 psig. Another challenger is how to accurately measure the recoverable methane gas rates in order to secure a viable economic assessment.





Existing Air Receiver





- Existing Air Receiver
 - Volume 106 cuft
 - Operating at 165 psig

